

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,169	04/27/2005	Andrew David Bacon	Q85454	9237
25225 04/21/2009 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 12531 HIGH BLUFF DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			CHEN, SHIN LIN	
SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, 0	CA 92130-2040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/520.169 BACON ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1632 Shin-Lin Chen All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Shin-Lin Chen. (4)____. (2) Kate Murashige. Date of Interview: 17 April 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representativel Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: Craig et al., Nage et al., Gregoriadis et al., Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; discussed new matter issue and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection. Applicant argue Nagy teaches away using non-polymerized liposome, and the prior arts do not teach celivering the nucleic acid and assistor protein in the same liposome, expressing the assistor protein on the surface of liposome and entrapping the nucleic acid in the intravasicular space. Applicant might submit amendment and argument to overcome the rejections. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Shin-Lin Chen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632